IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:17-CV-362-D

SIEARA PATTIE MILLS ALEXANDER,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.	ORDER
NAMOV A DEDDVIIII I)
NANCY A. BERRYHILL,)
Acting Commissioner of Social Security,)
)
Defendant	t.)

On June 18, 2018, Magistrate Judge Jones issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 26] and recommended that plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 17] be granted, that defendant's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 22] be denied, and that the action be remanded to the Commissioner. Neither party objected to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (emphasis, alteration, and quotation omitted); <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond</u>, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R, the record, and the briefs. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 26]. Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 17] is GRANTED, defendant's

motion for judgment on the pleadings [D.E. 22] is DENIED, and the action is REMANDED to the Commissioner under sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g).

SO ORDERED. This $\underline{16}$ day of July 2018.

JAMES C. DEVER III

Chief United States District Judge